

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/877,395	GARDNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Eric Angell	1635	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) J. Eric Angell.

(3) _____

(2) Michelle Manning.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 January 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding claim 17. Claim 17 was cancelled in the amendment filed 4/22/03, however, the amendment filed 11/25/03 indicated that claim 17 was pending. It was confirmed that claim 17 is cancelled and permission was given to cancel claim 17 from the amendment filed 11/25/03 by Examiner's Amendment. Additionally, Applicants were notified that the first page of the specification did not contain a reference to the provisional applications to which the applicants have claimed priority in the oath/declaration. It was indicated that based on the inclusion of the provisional applications on the bibliographic data sheet, that OIPE recognized their priority claim and thus no petition or fee is required. Applicants representative athesized an amendment to add a reference to the provisional application in the first line of the specification by Examiner's Amendment.



DAVE T. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER